







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Sintes Patent and Trademark Office Address: QCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Wgshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
10/045,986	(01/11/2002	Tim E. Ward	265/282	265/282 1411	
34313	7590	03/12/2003				
		GTON & SUTCL	EXAMINER			
4 PARK PL SUITE 1600			IMAM, ALI M			
	IRVINE, CA 92614-2558					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3737	-	
				DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			, ,				
		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	leti m Commons	10/045,986	WARD ET AL.				
Offic A	Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Ali Imam	3737				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	ears n the cover she t with th c	orrespondenc address				
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If the period for reply sis - Failure to reply within th - Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, e Office later than three months after the mailing stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONF	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
	to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2002 /					
2a) ☐ This action		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this a	pplication is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in ad Disposition of Claims	cordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	<u>89</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the ab	ove claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u>	g is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specificat	tion is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s	s) filed on 11 January 2002 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant ma	ay not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or de	eclaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.	.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgr	ment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ \$	Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
ap	s of the certified copies of the priori plication from the International Bur ed detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
	ent is made of a claim for domestic						
	slation of the foreign language prov						
	ent is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)							
	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,986

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terwilliger (US 5,766,135)

In regard to claims 1, 19, and 34, Terwilliger teaches in Fig. 4, a medical device (10) comprising an elongated body (12); a concave hole/slot (2) formed on the distal end of the body (12); wherein a surface (3) of the slot is also concave (col. 4, line 5). Terwilliger further teaches that the medical device is a needle (col. 2, line 55)

Terwilliger fails to mention specifically that the body includes plurality of concave slots instead of just one slot (2). Terwilliger further fails to mention expressly that the surface (3) is substantially flat in a first cross section and is substantially curved in a second cross section.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,986

Art Unit: 3737

However, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that using plural slots instead of just one would enhance echogenecity of the imaging device and thus improve the ultrasonic visibility of the medical device. Furthermore, it would have been a matter of design choice to cut the surface such that it is substantially flat in a first cross section and is substantially curved in a second cross section in order to meet users intended purpose.

Therefore, it would have obvious to an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Terwilliger's medical device such that it's body (12) includes plural slots and the surface (3) of each plural slots is substantially flat in a first cross section and is substantially curved in a second cross section in order to increase echogenecity of the medical imaging device for providing enhanced ultrasonic visibility.

In regard to the specific limitations of claims 2-18, 20-33, and 35-39 regarding the shapes, materials, and structures of the concave slot and the elongated body are considered to be a matter of users' discretion in order to achieve intended echogenecity for the medical device for increasing ultrasonic visibility of the medical device.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Imam whose telephone number is 703-305-0028. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Th., 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marvin Lateef can be reached on 703-308-3256. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-308-0758 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,986

Art Unit: 3737

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Ali Imam Examiner Art Unit 3737

AMI March 10, 2003